

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/668,181	09/22/2000	John Van Saders	7174-128	1069
7:	590 03/26/2004		EXAMINER	
Pennie & Edmonds LLP			NGUYEN, DANNY	
1155 Avenue o New York, NY			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
2.0			2836	<u> </u>
·			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1			
·		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/668,181	VAN SADERS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Danny Nguyen	2836				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period by the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. CD (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 D</u>	ecember 2003.					
2a)□		action is non-final.					
	<del>'</del>		osecution as to the merits is				
٠,٠	closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	∑ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7 and 8</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
. —	) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	***		).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	,-			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen	1. 7						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/668,181

Art Unit: 2836

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-5, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams, III (USPN 6,057,873) in view of Podell et al. (USPN 5,301,081)

Regarding to claims 1, 3, 5, 7, Adams discloses a method and an apparatus for protecting over-voltage (see fig. 6) comprises normally off device (660) having a first output terminal coupled to a first signal branch of a balanced circuit (620), a second output terminal coupled to a second signal branch of the balanced circuit (620); wherein a balanced transient signal present on the first and second signal branch of the balanced circuit caused the device (660) to become conductive and to shunt the balanced signal (see col. 7, lines 5-24). Adams does not disclose a normally off device (660) is transistor. However, Adams states that the device (660) can replaced by other shunt devices, which are well known in the art (see col. 7, lines 20-24). Podell discloses an over-voltage protection circuit (fig. 1) uses a normally off MESFET (24), which has a

Application/Control Number: 09/668,181

Art Unit: 2836

control terminal (25) being coupled to a reference voltage (ground). Note that the current conducting electrodes (26 and 27) of the transistor (24) can be interchanged without influencing the MESFET performance. Thus, MESFET (24) is a bi-directional transistor, and a resistor (Rs) coupled between the control terminal (25) and the reference voltage (ground) to shunt surge voltage and apply bias voltage to the transistor (see col. 5, lines 12-13 and lines 45-56). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the shunt device of Adams to use the shunt transistor as taught by Podell because Podell teaches that using MESFET transistor provides high speed switching (col. 5, lines 1-6).

Regarding to claim 4, Adams and Podell disclose all limitations of claim 1 except for having the transistor being various types (such as BJT or HBT). However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select any known transistor as deemed suitable in order to provide voltage protection function. This is further demonstrated by applicant's various embodiments of the transistor as claimed absent persuasive evidence that particular type of transistor is significant.

3. Claims 2, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams in view of Podell, and further in view of Vasile. The combination of Adams and Podell disclose all limitations of claims 1 and 7 except for having a balun transformer. Vasile uses a balun transformer (28) to convert unbalanced transient signal to balanced transient signal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

Art Unit: 2836

the invention was made to have modified the transformer of the combination to use the balun transformer as taught by Vasile because the balun transformer provide greater bandwidth and lower losses.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danny Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)-272-2054. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on (571)-272-2058. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/668,181 Page 5

Art Unit: 2836

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DN

3/12/2004

BRIAN-SIRCUS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800